**Sample Criteria for Conference Presentation rubric**

The criteria, below, were assessed as:

*Not applicable (0), Failed to meet expectations (F), Met some expectations (B), Met most expectations (A-), Met expectations (A), Exceeded expectations (A+)*

**Length of presentation**

* The presentation conformed to the 10-15 minute time requirement.
* The presentation was delivered at an appropriate pace.
* The presentation made good use of the available time without being either rushed or unnecessarily extended.
* The presentation had a clear introduction and conclusion.

**Competency with the use of the presentation software**

* The presenter used visual images for explanation and illustration.
* The images used were helpful in supporting the narrative.
* Images were appropriately attributed and referenced.
* Transitions, animations and graphics were used in a professional way that supported narrative instead of distracting from it.
* The presenter avoided extensive use of bullet points.
* The presenter avoided reading all text on each slide.

**Depth of knowledge about the topic**

* The presenter's discussion about the topic reflected a deep understanding of relevant literature and/or theories.
* The presenter made connections between the chosen topic and the wider field of study and practice.
* The presentation was based on relevant academic references.

**Competency in knowledge translation**

* Complex ideas and examples were developed in a progressive fashion to support understanding and engagement in the audience.
* Terms and concepts were suitably explained and defined.
* Acronyms were defined when first introduced and use sparingly.
* The presenter avoided the use of jargon or idiosyncratic terminology.